

## Declaration of Interest

<b>ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION</b>	Papers circulated electronically between 3 December 2019 and 12 December 2019
<b>Panel reference</b>	PPSSCC-27 – The Hills - 1395/2016/JP/C – 11-13 Solent Circuit, Norwest – a section 4.55(2) modification to an approved mixed use development

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☒

an actual<sup>1</sup> ☐, potential<sup>2</sup> ☐ or reasonably perceived<sup>3</sup> ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

---

---

---

---

---

---



**Signature**

4 December 2019  
**Date**

Paul Mitchell  
**Name**

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at  
[enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au](mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au)

<sup>1</sup> An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

<sup>2</sup> A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

## Declaration of Interest

<b>ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION</b>	Papers circulated electronically between 3 December 2019 and 12 December 2019
<b>Panel reference</b>	PPSSCC-27 – The Hills - 1395/2016/JP/C – 11-13 Solent Circuit, Norwest – a section 4.55(2) modification to an approved mixed use development

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☒

an actual<sup>1</sup> ☐, potential<sup>2</sup> ☐ or reasonably perceived<sup>3</sup> ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

---



---



---



---



---



**Signature**

12 December 2019  
**Date**

Mary-Lynne Taylor  
**Name**

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at  
[enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au](mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au)

<sup>1</sup> An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

<sup>2</sup> A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.

## Declaration of Interest

<b>ELECTRONIC DETERMINATION</b>	Papers circulated electronically between 3 December 2019 and 12 December 2019
<b>Panel reference</b>	PPSSCC-27 – The Hills - 1395/2016/JP/C – 11-13 Solent Circuit, Norwest – a section 4.55(2) modification to an approved mixed use development

In relation to this matter, I declare that I have:

no known conflict of interest ☒

an actual<sup>1</sup> ☐, potential<sup>2</sup> ☐ or reasonably perceived<sup>3</sup> ☐ conflict of interest as detailed below:

---



---



---



---



---



**Signature**

10 December 2019

**Date**

Mark Colburt  
**Name**

Please return this form to the Planning Panels Secretariat at  
[enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au](mailto:enquiry@planningpanels.nsw.gov.au)

<sup>1</sup> An 'actual' conflict of interests is where there is a direct conflict between a member's duties and responsibilities and their private interests or other duties.

<sup>2</sup> A 'potential' conflict of interests is where a panel member has a private interest or other duty that could conflict with their duties as a panel member in the future.

<sup>3</sup> A 'reasonably perceived' conflict of interests is where a person could reasonably perceive that a panel member's private interests or other duties are likely to improperly influence the performance of their duties as a panel member, whether or not this is in fact the case.